1	AARON D. FORD Attorney General DOUGLAS R. RANDS, Bar No. 3572 Senior Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4717	
2		
3		
4		
5	Tel: (775) 684-1150 E-mail: drands@ag.nv.gov	
6	Attorneys for Defendants,	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	ROBERT CSECH,	
11	Plaintiff,	Case No. 3:19-cv-00210-MMD-CLB
12	VS.	MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
13	JAMES DZURENDA, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Defendant, Isidro Baca, by and through counsel, Aaron D. Ford, Attorney General of the State	
17	of Nevada, and Douglas R. Rands, Senior Deputy Attorney General, and by special appearance, (ECF	
18	No. 48), hereby requests an extension of time to file the Motion for Summary Judgment which was to	
19	be filed on June 21, 2021. (ECF No. 47). This motion is based on the following Memorandum of	
20	Points and Authorities, and all papers and pleadings on file herein.	
21	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	
22	This is a pro se prisoner civil rights action brought by inmate Plaintiff Robert Csech, currently	
23	housed at Northern Nevada Correctional Center (NNCC), asserting claims arising under 42 U.S.C. §	
24	1983. Plaintiff alleges the following. On April 5, 2019, after a correctional officer strangled Plaintiff,	
25	Plaintiff attempted to access medical treatment for "substantial bodily harm." (Id. 12 at 4.) Plaintiff	

claims he lost movement in his arm and legs, lost eyesight, and acquired further brain damage. (Id.) That

day, a Plaintiff alleges an unnamed sergeant threatened "over and over" to Plaintiff "no more

26

27

28

was "present" during the threats. (Id. at 4.) Therefore, Plaintiff was unable to seek medical attention "due to threats of medical on April 5, 2019." (Id. at 4.)

This Court an order that Defendant was to file a Motion for Summary Judgment limited to the issue of exhaustion of administrative remedies by June 21, 2021. (ECF No. 47). In preparation of the Motion for Summary Judgment, it became clear that a declaration would be necessary. However, the declarant was not available on to sign the declaration in a timely manner.

Counsel for the Defense was scheduled for a trial on the stacked Calendar in front of District Judge Du, to begin on the June 7, 2021 stack. That trial, *Burns v. Cox*, 3:18-cv-00231- MMD-WGC is expected to last 2-3 days. Due to a 2-week criminal trial, which was set for that same stack, it was likely that the *Burns* matter would be bumped. Judge Du offered the week of June 21, 2021 as a firm set. The other trials, in that week's stack, were set later in the stack. In order to get the *Burns* matter to trial, and at the request of the client, that offer was accepted. However, Counsel has been preparing for the trial, and did not get the Motion for Summary Judgment completed until this weekend. Counsel respectfully requests an additional seven (7) days to file the Motion for Summary Judgment in this matter. This will allow Counsel to finish and file the Motion after the scheduled jury trial.

Courts have inherent powers to control their dockets, *see Ready Transp., Inc. v. AAR Mfg, Inc.*, 627 F.3d 402, 404 (citations omitted), and to "achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases." *Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 43 (1991) "Such power is indispensable to the court's ability to enforce its orders, manage its docket, and regulate insubordinate [] conduct. Id. (citing *Mazzeo v. Gibbons*, No. 2:08–cv01387–RLH–PAL, 2010 WL 3910072, at *2 (D.Nev.2010)).

LR IA 6-1 discusses requests for continuances. The rule states:

(a) A motion or stipulation to extend time must state the reasons for the extension requested and must inform the court of all previous extensions of the subject deadline the court granted. (Examples: "This is the first stipulation for extension of time to file motions." "This is the third motion to extend time to take discovery.")

This is the first request, and is requested for good cause. Counsel requires the declaration, from a declarant who is not currently available. With trial beginning tomorrow, an additional seven (7) days will allow the parties to properly support this motion. Defendant has no objection to an additional time for Plaintiff to respond to the motion.

Therefore, it is requested that the Defendant have an additional 7 days to file his Motion for Summary Judgment, which would then be due on June 28, 2021. This would give Mr. Csech until July 28, 2021 to file his response, and the Defendant until August 12, 2021 to file the reply, if any. DATED this 21st day of June, 2021. AARON D. FORD Attorney General /s/ Douglas R. Rands By: DOUGLAS R. RANDS Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada Attorneys for Defendants IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: June 21, 2021 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada, and that on this 21st day of June, 2021, I caused to be served, a true and correct copy of the foregoing, MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE MOTION SUMMARY JUDGEMENT, by U.S. District Court CM/ECF Electronic Filing on the following: Robert Csech, #51121 Northern Nevada Correctional Center P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, NV 89702 /s/ Roberta W. Bibee An employee of the Office of the Attorney General